

Message Text

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 01 STATE 225968

61

ORIGIN EUR-12

INFO OCT-01 ISO-00 CIAE-00 PM-03 INR-05 L-01 ACDA-05

NSAE-00 PA-01 RSC-01 PRS-01 SP-02 USIA-06 TRSE-00

SAJ-01 DODE-00 SS-20 NSC-05 /064 R

DRAFTED BY OASD/ISA:LT.MARTUS:MEM

APPROVED BY EUR/RPM:EJSTREATOR

OASD/PA AND E:MR. WOODS

OASD/ISA:MGEN MCAULIFFE

PM/ISP:ATURRENTINE

EUR/RPM:COL.RTHOMPSON

JCS/J-5:CAPT RICHARDS (INFO)

----- 110442

R 121639Z OCT 74

FM SECSTATE WASHDC

TO USMISSION NATO

INFO ALL NATO CAPITALS

USNMR SHAPE

USCINCEUR

USLOSACLANT

CINCLANT

C O N F I D E N T I A L STATE 225968

E.O. 11652: GDS

TAGS: MCAP, NATO

SUBJECT: RATIONALIZATION

REFS: A. USNATO 5071; B. NATO RATIONALIZATION POTENTIAL
STUDY

1. WE ARE PLEASED WITH THE POSITIVE RESPONSE OF THE EWG
REPRESENTATIVES TO THE US RATIONALIZATION MATRIX AND WITH
THE AGREEMENT TO STUDY THE AREAS OF CONSOLIDATION OF TRAIN-
ING, CONSOLIDATION OF COMMUNICATIONS AND WARTIME LOC. WE
ARE READY TO PROVIDE BACKUP INFORMATION TO SUPPORT OUR
REPRESENTATIVES ON THE VARIOUS STUDY GROUPS.

CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 02 STATE 225968

2. ALTHOUGH THE THREE FUNCTIONAL AREAS CHOSEN FOR STUDY

ARE ALL PROMISING AREAS, THEY NEED TO BE ACCOMPANIED BY
ADDITIONAL AREAS IN ORDER TO ENHANCE THE CHANCES OF
RATIONALIZATION ACHIEVING ACCEPTANCE BY ALL NATIONS AND TO
ENSURE THAT OUR MAJOR GOAL OF FORCE IMPROVEMENTS IS
ATTAINED. AN EXTRACT OF THE MATRIX AT PAGE 14, REFERENCE
B, SHOWS SAVINGS/COSTS IN MILLIONS OF DOLLARS FOR THESE
PARTICULAR FUNCTIONS AS FOLLOWS:

-- BEL CAN DEN FRG GR IT
CONSOLIDATION
OF COMMO -43 -10 -4 -225 -1 -11
CONSOLIDATION
OF TNG -32 -254 -10 -81 -12 -28

WARTIME LOC - - - - -
(FOOTNOTE: EXTRACTED FROM HOST NATION SUPPORT FOR GROUND
FORCES PROPOSAL.)
TOTAL -75 -264 -14 -306 -13 -39

-- NETH NOR POR TUR UK US
CONSOLIDATION
OF COMMO -45 -3 -1 -2 -52 -346
CONSOLIDATION
OF TNG -18 -10 - -6 -287 PLUS 234

WARTIME LOC - - - - -25 -75
(FOOTNOTE: EXTRACTED FROM HOST NATION SUPPORT FOR GROUND
FORCES PROPOSAL.)
TOTAL -63 -13 -1 -8 -364 -187

THE TABLE SHOWS THAT EXCEPT FOR THE US ONLY AREAS WHICH
YIELD A DECLINE IN COUNTRY FUNDING REQUIREMENTS HAVE BEEN
SELECTED FOR DETAILED STUDY. TWO KEY ASPECTS OF THE
MATRIX APPROACH ARE (1) THAT ALL SAVINGS SHOULD BE
REALLOCATED TO PRIORITY IMPROVEMENT AREAS, AND (2) THE
ADDITIONAL CONTRIBUTION OF A NATION IN A PARTICULAR
FUNCTIONAL AREA MUST BE OFFSET BY SAVINGS IN OTHER
FUNCTIONAL AREAS WHICH RESULT FROM THE CONTRIBUTIONS OF
ITS ALLIES. THEREFORE, WE BELIEVE THAT THE EWG SHOULD
CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 03 STATE 225968

REFER FUNCTIONAL AREAS INVOLVING BOTH FORCE IMPROVEMENTS
AND SAVINGS TO SUBGROUPS. TO MOVE TOWARD A BETTER
BALANCE, WE WOULD APPRECIATE MISSION'S VIEWS ON WHICH, IF
ANY, OF THE FOLLOWING ADDITIONAL FUNCTIONAL AREAS THE EWG
MIGHT ASSIGN FOR STUDY: (1) HOST NATION AIRBASE SUPPORT;
(2) HOST NATION SUPPORT OF GROUND FORCES; (3) HOST NATION
OPERATION OF FIXED SAM SITES; (4) HOST NATION SUPPORT OF
FIXED COMMUNICATION SITES; (5) COOPERATIVE PROCUREMENT OF

TACTICAL AIRCRAFT; (6) IMPROVING GROUND FORCE CAPABILITIES (HIGHLY MOBILE ANTI-TANK UNITS); AND, (7) NATO AIR CONTROL SYSTEM (IMPROVING CONTROL OF TACTICAL AIR OFFENSE AND DEFENSE ASSETS).

3. IN REFERENCE TO UK QUESTION ON PLANS TO REPLACE US MILITARY PERSONNEL WITH UK CIVILIANS, IT SHOULD BE POINTED OUT THAT THE MATRIX REPRESENTS CHANGES WHICH WE BELIEVE ARE POSSIBLE; HOWEVER, ADDITIONAL STUDY IS NECESSARY FOR

SPECIFICS TO EMERGE. WE WOULD WELCOME THE OPPORTUNITY TO EXAMINE THIS PROPOSAL IN BILATERAL OR MULTILATERAL DISCUSSIONS. WE BELIEVE THIS CAN BEST BE DONE IF THESE MEETINGS ARE CARRIED OUT IN RESPONSE TO A REQUEST FROM THE EWG. IF WE BREAK THE DISCUSSIONS AWAY FROM THE EWG, THERE IS A DANGER OF CIRCUMVENTING THE REQUIREMENT TO REAPPLY SAVINGS TO FORCE IMPROVEMENTS. THEREFORE, WE RECOMMEND THAT MISSION SUGGEST THE EWG REQUEST A REPORT ON THE SUBJECT FROM AN APPROPRIATE EXISTING OR AD HOC GROUP IN WHICH THE PRINCIPALS ARE REPRESENTED. IN REGARD TO EXPLOSIVE ORDNANCE DISPOSAL TRAINING, WE WOULD REFER THE UK OFFER TO EURO NATO TRAIN FOR STUDY.

4. WE RECOGNIZE THE VALIDITY OF THE NORWEGIAN STATEMENT AND THEREFORE WOULD WELCOME ANY NORWEGIAN SUGGESTIONS FOR ADDITIONAL FUNCTIONAL AREAS WHICH WOULD MAKE THE MATRIX MORE RELEVANT TO THEM. NORWAY COULD SUBMIT TO THE EWG AN EXPANSION OF A FUNCTIONAL AREA ALREADY FOUND IN REF B, OR AN ORIGINAL PROPOSAL WRITTEN IN THE SAME FORMAT AS SECTION 3 OF REF B. IF THE NORWEGIANS WISH TO DO THIS, IT SHOULD BE MADE CLEAR THAT THE US IS WILLING TO SUPPORT NORWAY WITH APPROPRIATE COST AND/OR MANPOWER FIGURES.

CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 04 STATE 225968

INGERSOLL

CONFIDENTIAL

NNN

Message Attributes

Automatic Decaptioning: X
Capture Date: 01 JAN 1994
Channel Indicators: n/a
Current Classification: UNCLASSIFIED
Concepts: PERSONNEL TRAINING, MILITARY SCHOOLS, ESTABLISHMENT OF OFFICES
Control Number: n/a
Copy: SINGLE
Draft Date: 12 OCT 1974
Decaption Date: 01 JAN 1960
Decaption Note:
Disposition Action: RELEASED
Disposition Approved on Date:
Disposition Authority: golinofr
Disposition Case Number: n/a
Disposition Comment: 25 YEAR REVIEW
Disposition Date: 28 MAY 2004
Disposition Event:
Disposition History: n/a
Disposition Reason:
Disposition Remarks:
Document Number: 1974STATE225968
Document Source: CORE
Document Unique ID: 00
Drafter: LT.MARTUS:MEM
Enclosure: n/a
Executive Order: GS
Errors: N/A
Film Number: D740291-0990
From: STATE
Handling Restrictions: n/a
Image Path:
ISecure: 1
Legacy Key: link1974/newtext/t19741049/aaaabpnp.tel
Line Count: 155
Locator: TEXT ON-LINE, ON MICROFILM
Office: ORIGIN EUR
Original Classification: CONFIDENTIAL
Original Handling Restrictions: n/a
Original Previous Classification: n/a
Original Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a
Page Count: 3
Previous Channel Indicators:
Previous Classification: CONFIDENTIAL
Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a
Reference: A. USNATO 5071; B. NATO RATIONALIZAT, ION POTENTIAL
Review Action: RELEASED, APPROVED
Review Authority: golinofr
Review Comment: n/a
Review Content Flags:
Review Date: 04 APR 2002
Review Event:
Review Exemptions: n/a
Review History: RELEASED <04 APR 2002 by boyleja>; APPROVED <30 JUL 2002 by golinofr>
Review Markings:

Declassified/Released
US Department of State
EO Systematic Review
30 JUN 2005

Review Media Identifier:
Review Referrals: n/a
Review Release Date: n/a
Review Release Event: n/a
Review Transfer Date:
Review Withdrawn Fields: n/a
Secure: OPEN
Status: NATIVE
Subject: RATIONALIZATION
TAGS: MCAP, NATO
To: NATO BRUSSELS
Type: TE
Markings: Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 30 JUN 2005